

L_∞ STRUCTURES ON SPACES WITH 3 ONE-DIMENSIONAL COMPONENTS

MARILYN DAILY

1. INTRODUCTION

L_∞ structures have been a subject of recent interest in physics, where they occur in closed string theory [4] and in gauge theory [1]. This paper provides a class of easily constructible examples of L_n and L_∞ structures on graded vector spaces with 3 one-dimensional components. In particular, we will show how to classify **all** possible L_n and L_∞ structures on $V = V_m \oplus V_{m+1} \oplus V_{m+2}$ when $\dim(V_m) = \dim(V_{m+1}) = \dim(V_{m+2}) = 1$. We will also give necessary and sufficient conditions under which a space with an L_3 structure is a differential graded Lie algebra. In the process, we will show that some of these d.g. Lie algebras possess a nontrivial L_n structure for higher n .

The fundamental properties of L_∞ structures (including many of the definitions below) were developed earlier in [2] and [3]. The results in this paper are a portion of my PhD research under the supervision of Tom Lada. I would also like to thank Jim Stasheff for many helpful suggestions and comments.

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

Throughout this paper, it is assumed that $V = V_m \oplus V_{m+1} \oplus V_{m+2}$ is a graded vector space over a fixed field of characteristic zero. Since we are working with graded vector spaces, the Koszul sign convention will be employed: whenever two objects of degrees p and q are commuted, a factor of $(-1)^{pq}$ is introduced. For a permutation σ acting on a string of symbols, let $\varepsilon(\sigma)$ denote the total effect of these signs; then $\chi(\sigma) = (-1)^\sigma \varepsilon(\sigma)$ where $(-1)^\sigma$ is the sign of the permutation σ .

Remark 2.1. $\chi(\sigma)$ has the following properties, which can be easily verified:

- (1) If a permutation σ just reorders a string of consecutive elements of odd degree, then $\chi(\sigma) = 1$.
- (2) If a permutation σ just reorders a string of consecutive elements of even degree, then $\chi(\sigma) = (-1)^\sigma$.
- (3) If a permutation σ just transposes two (arbitrarily positioned) elements of even degree, then $\chi(\sigma) = -1$.
- (4) If a permutation σ moves an element of even degree past a string of k elements of arbitrary degree, then $\chi(\sigma) = (-1)^k$.
- (5) If a permutation σ moves an element of odd degree past a string of k elements of even degree, then $\chi(\sigma) = (-1)^k$.
- (6) If a permutation σ moves a string of j even elements past a string of k elements of arbitrary degree, then $\chi(\sigma) = (-1)^{jk}$.

Definition 2.2. A $(p, n-p)$ *unshuffle* is a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that

$$\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \cdots < \sigma(p) \text{ and } \sigma(p+1) < \sigma(p+2) < \cdots < \sigma(n).$$

Definition 2.3. A map $l_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ has *degree* k if $l_n(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) \in V_N$, where $N = k + \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$.

Definition 2.4. A linear map $l_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ is *skew symmetric* if

$$l_n(x_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\pi(n)}) = \chi(\pi) l_n(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) \quad \forall \pi \in S_n.$$

Definition 2.5. A skew symmetric linear map $l : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ can be extended to a map $l : V^{\otimes n+k} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 1+k}$ by the rule,

$$l(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n+k}) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ is } (n,k) \\ \text{unshuffle}}} \chi(\sigma) l(x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)}) \otimes x_{\sigma(n+1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(n+k)}.$$

Remark 2.6. The extension of a skew linear map need not be skew. For example: $l_1(v \otimes u \otimes w) \neq -(-1)^{uv} l_1(u \otimes v \otimes w)$. However, it can be shown that the composition $l_{n-p+1} \circ l_p : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ is a skew symmetric linear operator.

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{J}_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_n(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} l_{n-p+1} \circ l_p(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n).$$

(using the notation of extended maps defined above).

Remark 2.8. For ease of computation, $\mathcal{J}_n(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n)$ can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ is } (p, n-p) \\ \text{unshuffle}}} \chi(\sigma) l_{n-p+1}(l_p(x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(p)}) \otimes x_{\sigma(p+1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)}).$$

Definition 2.9. The n th *generalized Jacobi identity* is the equation

$$\mathcal{J}_n = 0.$$

Remark 2.10. If we denote $l_2(x \otimes y) = [x, y]$ and consider the special case when $n = 3$ and $l_3 = 0$, the generalized Jacobi identity becomes the more familiar graded Jacobi identity, since

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ is } (2,1) \\ \text{unshuffle}}} \chi(\sigma) l_2(l_2(x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes x_{\sigma(2)}) \otimes x_{\sigma(3)}) = 0$$

can be rewritten in bracket notation as

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ is } (2,1) \\ \text{unshuffle}}} \chi(\sigma) [[x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}], x_{\sigma(3)}] = 0$$

which then expands to the usual graded Jacobi identity,

$$[[x_1, x_2], x_3] - (-1)^{|x_2||x_3|} [[x_1, x_3], x_2] + (-1)^{|x_1|(|x_2|+|x_3|)} [[x_2, x_3], x_1] = 0.$$

Remark 2.11. Note that $l_{n-p+1} \circ l_p : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ is a skew linear operator of degree $3-n$. Therefore, $\mathcal{J}_n$ is also a skew linear operator of degree $3-n$. In particular, whenever an element of even degree is repeated, the Jacobi identity is automatically satisfied.

Definition 2.12. An L_m structure is a graded vector space V endowed with a collection of linear maps $\{l_k : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V, 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ with $\deg(l_k) = 2 - k$ which are skew symmetric and satisfy each Jacobi identity $\mathcal{J}_n = 0, 1 \leq n \leq m$.

Remark 2.13. This is the ‘‘cochain version’’ of the definition of an L_m structure, having $\deg(l_k) = 2 - k$. The definition for chain complexes is the same, but with $\deg(l_k) = k - 2$. We will be working exclusively with the cochain version in this paper.

Definition 2.14. An L_∞ structure is a graded vector space V endowed with a collection of linear maps $\{l_k : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\mathcal{J}_n = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.15. A differential graded (d.g.) Lie algebra is an L_2 structure which satisfies the identity,

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \text{ is } (2,1) \\ \text{unshuffle}}} \chi(\sigma) l_2(l_2(x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes x_{\sigma(2)}) \otimes x_{\sigma(3)}) = 0.$$

3. $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ WHERE EACH IS 1-DIMENSIONAL

This section includes necessary and sufficient conditions under which skew linear operators form an L_m or L_∞ structure on $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$, as well as necessary and sufficient conditions for an L_3 structure on this space to be a differential graded Lie algebra.

Specifically, consider $V = \oplus V_i$ where

$$V_0 = \langle v \rangle \quad V_1 = \langle w \rangle \quad V_2 = \langle x \rangle \quad V_k = 0, k \notin \{0, 1, 2\}$$

Define skew linear operators $l_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ of degree $2 - n$ by

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} l_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = a_n w & \forall n \geq 1 \\ l_n(w^{\otimes n}) = b_n x & \forall n \geq 1 \\ l_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-2} \otimes x) = c_n x & \forall n \geq 2 \\ l_n(w^{\otimes n-1} \otimes x) = 0 & \forall n \\ l_n(v^{\otimes i} \otimes w^{\otimes n-i-j} \otimes x^{\otimes j}) = 0 & \forall i, j > 1 \end{array} \right.$$

where a_n, b_n, c_n are constants. Since c_n is defined above only for $n \geq 2$, we can define $c_1 = 0$ for the sake of convenience.

The goal in this section is to show that this space can have just two types of L_∞ structure:

- $b_p = 0 \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$ but all constants a_n, c_n are arbitrarily chosen
- If some b_p is nonzero, the remaining constants b_n and all constants c_n can be arbitrarily chosen, but all constants a_n are then uniquely determined by those choices.

Remark 3.1. The above list (1) includes all possible skew linear operators of degree $2 - n$, by the following argument:

- $l_n(v^{\otimes i} \otimes w^{\otimes n-i-j} \otimes x^{\otimes j}) = 0 \quad \forall i, j > 1$ because a repeated component of even degree makes a skew operator zero.
- $l_n(w^{\otimes n-1} \otimes x) \subset V_{n-1+2+2-n} = V_3$ which is zero.

The action of l_k on the remaining generators is determined by its skewness.

In order to determine when these operators define an L_m structure on V , we need to know when $\mathcal{J}_n = 0 \ \forall \ n \leq m$. The following two lemmas establish the conditions which force $\mathcal{J}_n = 0$:

Lemma 3.2. *Given $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ with the skew linear operators defined in (1), $\mathcal{J}_n = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{J}_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\deg(\mathcal{J}_n) = 3 - n$,

$$\mathcal{J}_n(V_0^{\otimes i} \otimes V_1^{\otimes n-i-j} \otimes V_2^{\otimes j}) \subset V_{n-i-j+2j+3-n} = V_{-i+j+3}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{J}_n(V_0^{\otimes i} \otimes V_1^{\otimes n-i-j} \otimes V_2^{\otimes j})$ can only be nonzero if $j-i+3 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ (since these are the only nonzero components of V). Equivalently, $\mathcal{J}_n(V_0^{\otimes i} \otimes V_1^{\otimes n-i-j} \otimes V_2^{\otimes j})$ can only be nonzero if $j-i \in \{-3, -2, -1\}$. But $\mathcal{J}_n(V_0^{\otimes i} \otimes V_1^{\otimes n-i-j} \otimes V_2^{\otimes j}) = 0$ whenever $i > 1$ (since $\mathcal{J}_n$ is skew and a parameter of even degree would be repeated). Thus $\mathcal{J}_n(V_0^{\otimes i} \otimes V_1^{\otimes n-i-j} \otimes V_2^{\otimes j})$ can only be nonzero when $i = 1$ and $j = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Given $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ with the operators defined in (1),*

$$\mathcal{J}_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-1)^n b_p \left[(-1)^{\binom{n-1}{n-p}} a_{n-p+1} + \binom{n-1}{p} c_{n-p+1} \right] x.$$

Proof. Before calculating $l_{n-p+1} \circ l_p(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1})$, it is helpful to consider the ways in which a $(p, n-p)$ unshuffle of $v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}$ could possibly rearrange the terms. Here, there are only two possibilities, since ordering guarantees that the v must be placed in either position 1 or position $p+1$.

There are $\binom{n-1}{p-1}$ unshuffles which place v in position 1. In each case, $\chi(\sigma) = +1$, since each of these permutations can be accomplished solely by commuting elements of odd degree.

Similarly, there are $\binom{n-1}{p}$ unshuffles which place v in position $p+1$. In each case, $\chi(\sigma) = (-1)^p$. This is because we can reorder the consecutive w terms (which does not change the sign), and then simply shift v past p w terms.

$$\begin{aligned} l_{n-p+1} \circ l_p(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) &= \binom{n-1}{p-1} l_{n-p+1}(l_p(v \otimes w^{\otimes p-1}) \otimes w^{\otimes n-p}) \\ &\quad + \binom{n-1}{p} (-1)^p l_{n-p+1}(l_p(w^{\otimes p}) \otimes v \otimes w^{\otimes n-p-1}) \\ &= \binom{n-1}{p-1} l_{n-p+1}(a_p w \otimes w^{\otimes n-p}) \\ &\quad + \binom{n-1}{p} (-1)^p (-1)^{n-p} l_{n-p+1}(b_p v \otimes w^{\otimes n-p-1} \otimes x) \\ &= \binom{n-1}{p-1} a_p b_{n-p+1} x + (-1)^n \binom{n-1}{p} b_p c_{n-p+1} x. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{J}_n = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} l_{n-p+1} \circ l_p$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} \left[\binom{n-1}{p-1} a_p b_{n-p+1} x + (-1)^n \binom{n-1}{p} b_p c_{n-p+1} x \right].$$

Thus $\mathcal{J}_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1})$ is equal to

$$\sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} \binom{n-1}{p-1} a_p b_{n-p+1} x + \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-1)^n \binom{n-1}{p} b_p c_{n-p+1} x.$$

Substituting $q = n - p + 1$ in the first sum and re-indexing, this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{q=1}^n (-1)^{(n-q+1)(q-1)} \binom{n-1}{n-q} a_{n-q+1} b_q x + \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-1)^n \binom{n-1}{p} b_p c_{n-p+1} x.$$

Recombining the two sums, this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-1)^n b_p \left[(-1) \binom{n-1}{n-p} a_{n-p+1} + \binom{n-1}{p} c_{n-p+1} \right] x.$$

□

Remark 3.4. Thus in $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$,

$$\mathcal{J}_n = 0 \iff 0 = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-1)^n b_p \left[(-1) \binom{n-1}{n-p} a_{n-p+1} + \binom{n-1}{p} c_{n-p+1} \right].$$

In particular, v is L_1 (i.e. a differential graded vector space) if and only if $0 = a_1 b_1$.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ with the skew linear operators defined in (1) has an L_∞ structure and $a_1 \neq 0$. Then $b_n = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Suppose $a_1 \neq 0$. Then $b_1 = 0$ (since the first Jacobi identity requires that $0 = a_1 b_1$). Now we do an induction proof: Suppose $b_k = 0 \forall k < n$. The n th Jacobi identity requires that

$$0 = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-1)^n b_p \left[(-1) \binom{n-1}{n-p} a_{n-p+1} + \binom{n-1}{p} c_{n-p+1} \right].$$

Since we're supposing that $b_k = 0 \forall k < n$, this collapses to $0 = (-1)^n b_n (n-1) a_1$. But we also supposed that $a_1 \neq 0$, which forces $b_n = 0$. □

If some constant b_k is nonzero, then the following lemma proves that the constraint $\mathcal{J}_n = 0$ is equivalent to an explicit formula for a_{n-k+1} :

Lemma 3.6. *Given $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ with the operators defined in (1), suppose that $1 \leq k < n$ & $b_p = 0 \forall p < k$ & $b_k \neq 0$. Then $\mathcal{J}_n = 0$ if and only if*

$$a_{n-k+1} = \left(\frac{n-k}{k} \right) c_{n-k+1} + \frac{\sum_{q=2}^{n-k} (-1)^{q(n-q)} b_{n-q+1} \left[\binom{n-1}{q-1} a_q - \binom{n-1}{q-2} c_q \right]}{(-1)^{k(n-k)+n} \binom{n-1}{n-k} b_k}.$$

Proof. We know that

$$\mathcal{J}_n = 0 \iff 0 = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-1)^n b_p \left[(-1) \binom{n-1}{n-p} a_{n-p+1} + \binom{n-1}{p} c_{n-p+1} \right].$$

Since $b_k \neq 0$ by assumption, $a_1 = 0$ by the preceding lemma. Thus when $p = n$, $a_{n-p+1} = 0$. Also, when $p = n$, $\binom{n-1}{p} = 0$. Thus we can change the upper limit of the summation to $n-1$. Furthermore, since $b_p = 0 \forall p < k$, the lower limit can be changed to k . Thus $\mathcal{J}_n = 0$ if and only if

$$0 = \sum_{p=k}^{n-1} (-1)^{p(n-p)} b_p \left[(-1) \binom{n-1}{n-p} a_{n-p+1} + \binom{n-1}{p} c_{n-p+1} \right].$$

Removing the term with $p = k$ from the summation, we get an equivalent equation:

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{k(n-k)} b_k \binom{n-1}{n-k} a_{n-k+1} &= (-1)^{k(n-k)} b_k \binom{n-1}{k} c_{n-k+1} \\ &+ \sum_{p=k+1}^{n-1} (-1)^{p(n-p)} b_p \left[(-1) \binom{n-1}{n-p} a_{n-p+1} + \binom{n-1}{p} c_{n-p+1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since we supposed that $1 \leq k < n$, $\binom{n-1}{n-k} \neq 0$. Also $b_k \neq 0$ by supposition. Thus the previous equation is equivalent to the formula,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n-k+1} &= \frac{\binom{n-1}{k} c_{n-k+1}}{\binom{n-1}{n-k}} \\ &+ \frac{\sum_{p=k+1}^{n-1} (-1)^{p(n-p)} b_p \left[(-1) \binom{n-1}{n-p} a_{n-p+1} + \binom{n-1}{p} c_{n-p+1} \right]}{(-1)^{k(n-k)} b_k \binom{n-1}{n-k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $q = n - p + 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n-k+1} &= \frac{\binom{n-1}{k} c_{n-k+1}}{\binom{n-1}{n-k}} \\ &+ \frac{\sum_{q=2}^{n-k} (-1)^{(n-q+1)(q-1)} b_{n-q+1} \left[(-1) \binom{n-1}{q-1} a_q + \binom{n-1}{n-q+1} c_q \right]}{(-1)^{k(n-k)} b_k \binom{n-1}{n-k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying, we get

$$a_{n-k+1} = \left(\frac{n-k}{k} \right) c_{n-k+1} + \frac{\sum_{q=2}^{n-k} (-1)^{q(n-q)} b_{n-q+1} \left[\binom{n-1}{q-1} a_q - \binom{n-1}{q-2} c_q \right]}{(-1)^{k(n-k)+n} \binom{n-1}{n-k} b_k}.$$

□

Lemma 3.7. *If $b_p = 0 \forall p < n$ then $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$, with the skew operators defined in (1) is L_n .*

Proof. Suppose $1 \leq m \leq n$. We know that

$$\mathcal{J}_m = 0 \iff 0 = \sum_{p=1}^m (-1)^{p(m-p)} (-1)^m b_p \left[(-1) \binom{m-1}{m-p} a_{m-p+1} + \binom{m-1}{p} c_{m-p+1} \right].$$

If $m < n$, we have $b_p = 0 \forall p \leq m$, and $\mathcal{J}_m = 0$. So we only need to show that $\mathcal{J}_n = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_n &= \sum_{p=n}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-1)^n b_p \left[(-1) \binom{n-1}{n-p} a_{n-p+1} + \binom{n-1}{p} c_{n-p+1} \right] \\ &= (-1)^n b_n \left[(-1) \binom{n-1}{0} a_1 + \binom{n-1}{n} c_1 \right] = (-1)^{n+1} (n-1) b_n a_1. \end{aligned}$$

If $b_n = 0$, then this is zero. If $b_n \neq 0$, we know from Lemma (3.5) that $a_1 = 0$, which makes the sum zero in all cases. Thus $\mathcal{J}_n = 0 \forall m \leq n$. Therefore, V is L_n . □

Now the following theorem provides necessary and sufficient conditions which identify all possible L_n structures on $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$. This also leads to a corollary which specifies all possible L_∞ structures on this space.

Theorem 3.8. Suppose that $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ has skew operators defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} l_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = a_n w \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\ l_n(w^{\otimes n}) = b_n x \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\ l_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-2} \otimes x) = c_n x \quad \forall n \geq 2 \end{array} \right\}.$$

V is L_n if and only if

- $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p < n$ or
- $\exists k$ such that $1 \leq k < n$ & $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p < k$ & $b_k \neq 0$ & $\forall 1 \leq m \leq n-k+1$,

$$a_m = \left(\frac{m-1}{k}\right) c_m + \frac{\sum_{p=2}^{m-1} (-1)^{p(m+k)} b_{m+k-p} \left[\binom{m+k-2}{p-1} a_p - \binom{m+k-2}{p-2} c_p \right]}{(-1)^{m(m+k)+1} \binom{m+k-2}{m-1} b_k}.$$

Proof. If $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p < n$ then we know from Lemma (3.7) that V is L_n . So suppose otherwise.

Then $\exists k$ such that $1 \leq k < n$ & $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p < k$ & $b_k \neq 0$. Using Lemma (3.7) again, we know that V is L_k . Thus $\mathcal{J}_q = 0 \quad \forall q \leq k$. Thus V is L_n if and only if $\mathcal{J}_q = 0 \quad \forall k < q \leq n$, which by Lemma (3.6) is true if and only if

$$a_{q-k+1} = \left(\frac{q-k}{k}\right) c_{q-k+1} + \frac{\sum_{p=2}^{q-k} (-1)^{p(q-p)} b_{q-p+1} \left[\binom{q-1}{p-1} a_p - \binom{q-1}{p-2} c_p \right]}{(-1)^{k(q-k)+q} \binom{q-1}{q-k} b_k}$$

$\forall k < q \leq n$. If we substitute $m = q - k + 1$, this formula becomes

$$a_m = \left(\frac{m-1}{k}\right) c_m + \frac{\sum_{p=2}^{m-1} (-1)^{p(m+k-1-p)} b_{m+k-p} \left[\binom{m+k-2}{p-1} a_p - \binom{m+k-2}{p-2} c_p \right]}{(-1)^{k(m-1)+m+k-1} \binom{m+k-2}{m-1} b_k}.$$

Note that $k < q \leq n \iff 1 < q - k + 1 \leq n - k + 1$. Thus V is L_n if and only if

$$a_m = \left(\frac{m-1}{k}\right) c_m + \frac{\sum_{p=2}^{m-1} (-1)^{p(m+k)} b_{m+k-p} \left[\binom{m+k-2}{p-1} a_p - \binom{m+k-2}{p-2} c_p \right]}{(-1)^{m(m+k)+1} \binom{m+k-2}{m-1} b_k}$$

$\forall 1 < m \leq n - k + 1$. □

Corollary 3.9. Suppose that $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$, with the skew operators defined in (1) is L_n . Then V is L_{n+1} if and only if

- $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p < n + 1$ or
- $\exists k$ such that $1 \leq k < n + 1$ & $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p < k$ & $b_k \neq 0$ and

$$a_{n-k+2} = \left(\frac{n-k+1}{k}\right) c_{n-k+2} + \frac{\sum_{p=2}^{n-k+1} (-1)^{p(n+2)} b_{n+2-p} \left[\binom{n}{p-1} a_p - \binom{n}{p-2} c_p \right]}{(-1)^{n(n-k)+1} \binom{n}{k-1} b_k}.$$

Corollary 3.10. $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ with the skew operators defined in (1) is L_∞ if and only if

- $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$ or
- $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p < k$ & $b_k \neq 0$ & $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_m = \left(\frac{m-1}{k}\right) c_m + \frac{\sum_{p=2}^{m-1} (-1)^{p(m+k)} b_{m+k-p} \left[\binom{m+k-2}{p-1} a_p - \binom{m+k-2}{p-2} c_p \right]}{(-1)^{m(m+k)+1} \binom{m+k-2}{m-1} b_k}.$$

The following result gives necessary and sufficient conditions under which an L_3 structure on $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ is a differential graded Lie algebra.

Theorem 3.11. *Suppose that $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ with the operators defined in (1) is an L_3 structure. Then V is a differential graded Lie algebra if and only if $b_1 = 0$ or $b_2 = 0$ or $a_2 = 0$.*

Proof. Recall that a d.g. Lie algebra is an L_2 structure in which $l_2 \circ l_2 \equiv 0$. In this space, $l_2 \circ l_2 \equiv 0 \iff l_2 \circ l_2(v \otimes w^{\otimes 2}) = 0$, by the argument used in Lemma (3.2).

$$\begin{aligned} l_2 \circ l_2(v \otimes w^{\otimes 2}) &= l_2(l_2(v \otimes w) \otimes w) - (-1)^1 l_2(l_2(v \otimes w) \otimes w) + (-1)^0 l_2(l_2(w \otimes w) \otimes v) \\ &= 2l_2(a_2 w \otimes w) + l_2(b_2 x \otimes v) = 2a_2 b_2 x - b_2 c_2 x = (2a_2 - c_2)b_2 x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus V is a d.g. Lie algebra if and only if $(2a_2 - c_2)b_2 = 0$. Note that when $b_2 = 0$, this condition makes V a d.g. Lie algebra.

To prove the rest, we need to use the fact that V is L_3 . Recall that in this space,

$$\mathcal{J}_n = 0 \iff 0 = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-1)^n b_p \left[(-1)^{\binom{n-1}{n-p}} a_{n-p+1} + \binom{n-1}{p} c_{n-p+1} \right].$$

Therefore, V is L_3 if and only if the following 3 constraints hold:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a_1 b_1 && (\text{since } \mathcal{J}_1 = 0). \\ 0 &= b_1 a_2 - b_1 c_2 - b_2 a_1 && (\text{since } \mathcal{J}_2 = 0). \\ 0 &= b_1(a_3 - 2c_3) + b_2(2a_2 - c_2) + b_3 a_1 && (\text{since } \mathcal{J}_3 = 0). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $b_2 \neq 0$ and $b_1 = 0$. By plugging into the second Jacobi constraint, we get $a_1 = 0$ (since $b_2 \neq 0$). Plugging $a_1 = 0$ and $b_1 = 0$ into the third constraint, we get $b_2(2a_2 - c_2) = 0$, which makes V a d.g. Lie algebra.

So suppose $b_2 \neq 0$ and $b_1 \neq 0$ and $a_2 = 0$. From the first constraint, $a_1 = 0$. Plugging $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ into the second Jacobi constraint, we get $b_1 c_2 = 0$. Thus $c_2 = 0$ (since $b_1 \neq 0$). Since $a_2 = 0$ and $c_2 = 0$, $(2a_2 - c_2)b_2 = 0$, and V is a d.g. Lie algebra.

Finally, suppose $b_2 \neq 0$ and $b_1 \neq 0$ and $a_2 \neq 0$. From the first constraint, $a_1 = 0$. Plugging $a_1 = 0$ into the second Jacobi constraint, we get $0 = b_1(a_2 - c_2)$. Thus $c_2 = a_2$ (since $b_1 \neq 0$). Then $(2a_2 - c_2)b_2 = (2a_2 - a_2)b_2 = a_2 b_2 \neq 0$ (since we assumed that both a_2 and b_2 are nonzero). Thus V is not a d.g. Lie algebra in this case. $\square$

Example 3.12. Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ where

$$\begin{array}{lll} l_1(v) = 0 & l_2(v \otimes w) = w & l_3(v \otimes w \otimes w) = -w \\ l_1(w) = x & l_2(w \otimes w) = x & l_3(w \otimes w \otimes w) = 0 \\ & l_2(v \otimes x) = x & l_3(v \otimes w \otimes x) = 0 \end{array}$$

In the notation of (1), $a_1 = b_3 = c_3 = 0$, $b_1 = a_2 = b_2 = c_2 = 1$, and $a_3 = -1$. This example is L_3 since a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 satisfy the formula in Theorem (3.8). However, it is **not** a differential graded Lie algebra since

$$\begin{aligned} l_2 \circ l_2(v \otimes w \otimes w) &= l_2(l_2(v \otimes w) \otimes w) - (-1)^1 l_2(l_2(v \otimes w) \otimes w) + (-1)^0 l_2(l_2(w \otimes w) \otimes v) \\ &= l_2(w \otimes w) + l_2(w \otimes w) + 0 = 2x. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that we extend this example by defining l_4 :

$$l_4(v \otimes w^{\otimes 3}) = a_4 w \quad l_4(w^{\otimes 4}) = b_4 x \quad l_4(v \otimes w^{\otimes 2} \otimes x) = c_4 x$$

Then by Corollary (3.9), this structure is L_4 if and only if $a_4 = 3c_4 - 1$. $\square$

Example 3.13. Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$ where

$$V_0 = \langle v \rangle \quad V_1 = \langle w \rangle \quad V_2 = \langle x \rangle$$

Define skew linear operators $l_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ of degree $2 - n$ by

$$l_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = 0 \quad l_n(w^{\otimes n}) = x \quad l_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-2} \otimes x) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

In the notation of (1), $a_n = c_n = 0$ and $b_n = 1 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, and it is easy to see that the conditions of corollary (3.10) are satisfied. Therefore, this is an L_∞ structure on V . Furthermore, it is a differential graded Lie algebra since $a_2 = 0$. Note that in this simple example, we have a d.g. Lie algebra in which the higher operators (l_n where $n > 2$) are also nonzero. $\square$

4. $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ WHERE EACH IS 1-DIMENSIONAL

Now we will investigate a vector space in which the grading is shifted down by one from the previous space. This is an interesting example, since the case $V_{-1} \neq 0$ is important in rational homotopy theory. Here, we will see that there is a much more limited selection of possible L_m or L_∞ structures, and every L_3 structure is a differential graded Lie algebra.

It will be shown that every L_∞ structure on $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ (using the skew linear operators listed below) has one of the following forms:

- $b_n = 0 \forall n$ but the constants a_n, c_n are arbitrary
- $b_m \neq 0$ for some m but all constants a_n, c_n are zero

Now consider $V = \oplus V_i$ where

$$V_{-1} = \langle u \rangle \quad V_0 = \langle v \rangle \quad V_1 = \langle w \rangle \quad V_k = 0, k \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

Define skew linear operators $l_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ of degree $2 - n$ by

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} l_n(u \otimes v \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}) = a_n u & \forall n \geq 2 \\ l_n(u \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = b_n v & \forall n \geq 1 \\ l_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = c_n w & \forall n \geq 1 \\ l_n(w^{\otimes n}) = 0 & \forall n \\ l_n(u^{\otimes i} \otimes v^{\otimes j} \otimes w^{\otimes n-i-j}) = 0 & \forall i, j > 1 \end{array} \right.$$

where a_n, b_n, c_n are constants. Since a_n is defined above only for $n \geq 2$, we can define $a_1 = 0$ for the sake of convenience.

Remark 4.1. The above list includes all possible skew linear operators of degree $2 - n$, by the following argument:

- $l_n(u^{\otimes i} \otimes v^{\otimes j} \otimes w^{\otimes n-i-j}) = 0 \quad \forall j > 1$ because a repeated component of even degree makes a skew operator zero.
- $l_n(u^{\otimes i} \otimes v^{\otimes j} \otimes w^{\otimes n-i-j}) \in V_{-i+n-i-j+2-n} = V_{2-2i-j}$. This can only be nonzero if $2 - 2i - j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. If $j = 0$, then $2 - 2i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ which forces $i = 1$. If $j = 1$, then $2 - 2i - 1 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ which implies that $i = 0$ or $i = 1$.

The action of l_k on the remaining generators is determined by its skewness.

In order to determine when these operators define an L_m structure on V , we need to know when $\mathcal{J}_n = 0 \ \forall \ n \leq m$. The following three lemmas establish when $\mathcal{J}_n = 0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Given $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ with the skew operators defined in (2), $\mathcal{J}_n = 0$ if and only if*

$$\mathcal{J}_n(u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}) = 0 \ \& \ \mathcal{J}_n(u \otimes v \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}) = 0 \ \& \ \mathcal{J}_n(u \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = 0.$$

Proof. Since $\deg(\mathcal{J}_n) = 3 - n$,

$$\mathcal{J}_n(V_{-1}^{\otimes i} \otimes V_0^{\otimes j} \otimes V_1^{\otimes n-i-j}) \subset V_{-i+n-i-j+3-n} = V_{-2i-j+3}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{J}_n(V_{-1}^{\otimes i} \otimes V_0^{\otimes j} \otimes V_1^{\otimes n-i-j})$ can only be nonzero if $-2i-j+3 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ (since these are the nonzero components of V). Equivalently, $\mathcal{J}_n(V_{-1}^{\otimes i} \otimes V_0^{\otimes j} \otimes V_1^{\otimes n-i-j})$ can only be nonzero if $2i+j \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. But $\mathcal{J}_n(V_{-1}^{\otimes i} \otimes V_0^{\otimes j} \otimes V_2^{\otimes n-i-j}) = 0$ whenever $j > 1$ (since $\mathcal{J}_n$ is skew and a parameter of even degree would be repeated). Thus $\mathcal{J}_n(V_{-1}^{\otimes i} \otimes V_0^{\otimes j} \otimes V_2^{\otimes n-i-j})$ can only be nonzero when $(j = 0 \text{ and } i = 1)$ or $(j = 0 \text{ and } i = 2)$ or $(j = 1 \text{ and } i = 1)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Given $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ with the skew operators defined in (2),*

$$\mathcal{J}_n(u \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} \binom{n-1}{p-1} b_p c_{n-p+1} w.$$

Proof. Similar to Lemma (3.3). $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Given $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ with the skew operators defined in (2),*

$$\mathcal{J}_n(u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}) = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-2) \binom{n-2}{p-1} b_p a_{n-p+1} u.$$

Proof. Before calculating $l_{n-p+1} \circ l_p(u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-2})$, it is helpful to consider the ways in which a $(p, n-p)$ unshuffle of $u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}$ could possibly rearrange the two u terms.

- If both u are on the left, $l_{n-p+1}(l_p(u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes p-2}) \otimes w^{\otimes n-p}) = l_{n-p+1}(0) = 0$.
- If both u are on the right, $l_{n-p+1}(l_p(w^{\otimes p}) \otimes u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-p-2}) = l_{n-p+1}(0) = 0$.

Thus we only need to consider unshuffles σ which put one u on each side. Because of ordering, these are the unshuffles such that either $(\sigma(1) = 1 \ \& \ \sigma(p+1) = 2)$ or $(\sigma(1) = 2 \ \& \ \sigma(p+1) = 1)$.

There are $\binom{n-2}{p-1}$ unshuffles such that $(\sigma(1) = 1 \ \& \ \sigma(p+1) = 2)$. In each case, $\chi(\sigma) = +1$, since each of these permutations can be accomplished solely by commuting elements of odd degree. Similarly, there are $\binom{n-2}{p-1}$ unshuffles such that $(\sigma(1) = 2 \ \& \ \sigma(p+1) = 1)$, each with $\chi(\sigma) = +1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} l_{n-p+1} \circ l_p(u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}) &= 2 \binom{n-2}{p-1} (+1) l_{n-p+1}(l_p(u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes p-1}) \otimes u \otimes w^{\otimes n-p+1}) \\ &= 2 \binom{n-2}{p-1} l_{n-p+1}(b_p v \otimes u \otimes w^{\otimes n-p+1}) \\ &= 2 \binom{n-2}{p-1} b_p (-1) l_{n-p+1}(u \otimes v \otimes w^{\otimes n-p+1}) \\ &= (-2) \binom{n-2}{p-1} b_p a_{n-p+1} u. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{J}_n(u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}) = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-2) \binom{n-2}{p-1} b_p a_{n-p+1} u.$$

Since $a_1 = 0$, we get

$$\mathcal{J}_n(u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}) = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{p(n-p)} (-2) \binom{n-2}{p-1} b_p a_{n-p+1} u.$$

□

Lemma 4.5. *Given $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ with the skew operators defined in (2),*

$$\mathcal{J}_n(u \otimes v \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}) = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} b_{n-p+1} \left[\binom{n-2}{p-2} a_p - \binom{n-2}{p-1} c_p \right] v.$$

Proof. Denote $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n = u \otimes v \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}$. Consider the different ways in which a $(p, n-p)$ unshuffle σ could possibly arrange the u and v terms:

- If both u and v are on the left, $l_{n-p+1}(l_p(u \otimes v \otimes w^{\otimes p-2}) \otimes w^{\otimes n-p}) = l_{n-p+1}(a_p u \otimes w^{\otimes n-p}) = a_p b_{n-p+1} v$. There are $\binom{n-2}{p-2}$ such unshuffles, with $\chi(\sigma) = +1$ in each case.
- If u is on the left and v is on the right, $l_{n-p+1}(l_p(u \otimes w^{\otimes p-1}) \otimes v \otimes w^{\otimes n-p-1}) = l_{n-p+1}(b_p v^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-p-1}) = 0$
- If v is on the left and u is on the right, $l_{n-p+1}(l_p(v \otimes w^{\otimes p-1}) \otimes u \otimes w^{\otimes n-p-1}) = l_{n-p+1}(c_p w \otimes u \otimes w^{\otimes n-p+1}) = c_p b_{n-p+1} v$. There are $\binom{n-2}{p-1}$ of these, with $\chi(\sigma) = -1$.
- If both are on the right, $l_p(w^p) = 0$.

Thus

$$\mathcal{J}_n(u \otimes v \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}) = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} \left[\binom{n-2}{p-2} a_p b_{n-p+1} v - \binom{n-2}{p-1} c_p b_{n-p+1} v \right].$$

□

Thus $\mathcal{J}_n = 0$ if and only if the following 3 constraints hold:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} \binom{n-1}{p-1} b_p c_{n-p+1} && \text{(from } u \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}). \\ 0 &= \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{p(n-p)} \binom{n-2}{p-1} b_p a_{n-p+1} && \text{(from } u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}). \\ 0 &= \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} b_{n-p+1} \left[\binom{n-2}{p-2} a_p - \binom{n-2}{p-1} c_p \right] && \text{(from } u \otimes v \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma shows that if any constant b_k is nonzero, then this forces many other constants a_q, c_q to be zero in any L_m structure on $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $b_k \neq 0$ and $b_p = 0 \forall p < k$. Then $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ with the skew linear operators defined in (2) is L_m if and only if $a_q = c_q = 0 \forall q \leq m - k + 1$.*

Proof. Since $b_p = 0 \forall p < k$, $\mathcal{J}_n = 0$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{p=k}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} \binom{n-1}{p-1} b_p c_{n-p+1} \quad \& \quad 0 = \sum_{p=k}^{n-1} (-1)^{p(n-p)} \binom{n-2}{p-1} b_p a_{n-p+1} \\ &\quad \& \quad 0 = \sum_{p=1}^{n-k+1} (-1)^{p(n-p)} b_{n-p+1} \left[\binom{n-2}{p-2} a_p - \binom{n-2}{p-1} c_p \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $q = n - p + 1$, this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{q=1}^{n-k+1} (-1)^{(n+1)(q-1)} \binom{n-1}{n-q} b_{n-q+1} c_q \quad \& \quad 0 = \sum_{q=2}^{n-k+1} (-1)^{(n+1)(q-1)} \binom{n-2}{n-q} b_{n-q+1} a_q \\ &\quad \& \quad 0 = \sum_{p=1}^{n-k+1} (-1)^{p(n-p)} b_{n-p+1} \left[\binom{n-2}{p-2} a_p - \binom{n-2}{p-1} c_p \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $a_q = c_q = 0 \forall q \leq m - k + 1$ and $n \leq m$. Then $\mathcal{J}_n = 0 \forall n \leq m$ (since all of the above sums are clearly zero in that case). Thus V is L_m .

Now suppose that V is L_m . Since we assumed $1 \leq k \leq m$, V is $\mathcal{J}_k$. When we plug $n = k$ into

$$0 = \sum_{q=1}^{n-k+1} (-1)^{(n+1)(q-1)} \binom{n-1}{n-q} b_{n-q+1} c_q$$

the expression simplifies to $0 = b_k c_1$. Since $b_k \neq 0$ by assumption, $c_1 = 0$. Also, we know $a_1 = 0$ because of the way that the skew operators were defined.

Now we can do induction: Suppose $2 \leq i \leq m - k + 1$ & $a_q = c_q = 0 \forall q < i$. We will now show that $a_i = c_i = 0$, which will complete the proof! Since $i \leq m - k + 1$, $i + k - 1 \leq m$. Thus $\mathcal{J}_{i+k-1} = 0$. Thus we can plug $n = i + k - 1$ into

$$0 = \sum_{q=1}^{n-k+1} (-1)^{(n+1)(q-1)} \binom{n-1}{n-q} b_{n-q+1} c_q \quad \& \quad 0 = \sum_{q=2}^{n-k+1} (-1)^{(n+1)(q-1)} \binom{n-2}{n-q} b_{n-q+1} a_q.$$

Since we assumed that $a_q = c_q = 0 \forall q < i$, this simplifies to

$$0 = (-1)^{(k)(i-1)} \binom{i+k-2}{k-1} b_k c_i \quad \& \quad 0 = (-1)^{(k)(i-1)} \binom{i+k-3}{k-1} b_k a_i.$$

But the binomial expressions are nonzero since $i \geq 2$, and $b_k \neq 0$ by assumption. Thus $c_i = 0$ and $a_i = 0$. $\square$

Now we can get to the main results: Theorems (4.7) and (4.8) give necessary and sufficient conditions under which skew linear operators form an L_m structure on $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$.

Theorem 4.7. Suppose $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ has skew linear operators defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} l_n(u \otimes v \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}) = a_n u & \forall n \geq 2 \\ l_n(u \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = b_n v & \forall n \geq 1 \\ l_n(v \otimes w^{\otimes n-1}) = c_n w & \forall n \geq 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

V is L_m if and only if

- $b_p = 0 \forall p \leq m$ or
- $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $b_p = 0 \forall p < k$ and $b_k \neq 0$ and $a_q = c_q = 0 \forall q \leq m - k + 1$.

Proof. $\mathcal{J}_n = 0$ if and only if

$$0 = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} \binom{n-1}{p-1} b_p c_{n-p+1} \quad \& \quad 0 = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{p(n-p)} \binom{n-2}{p-1} b_p a_{n-p+1}$$

$$\quad \& \quad 0 = \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^{p(n-p)} b_{n-p+1} \left[\binom{n-2}{p-2} a_p - \binom{n-2}{p-1} c_p \right].$$

If $b_p = 0 \forall p \leq m$, then $\mathcal{J}_n = 0 \forall p \leq m$ (since all of the above sums are clearly zero). Thus V is L_m .

Now suppose that V is L_m & $\exists b_{\bar{k}} \neq 0$ such that $\bar{k} \leq m$. Then $\exists k$ such that $1 \leq k \leq m$ & $b_p = 0 \forall p < k$ & $b_k \neq 0$. Thus by the preceding lemma, V is L_m if and only if $a_q = c_q = 0 \forall q \leq m - k + 1$. $\square$

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ is an L_∞ structure with the skew linear operators defined in (2). If $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_m \neq 0$ then $a_n = c_n = 0 \forall n$.*

Proof. Suppose $b_p = 0 \forall p < m$ & $b_m \neq 0$. Then the constraint from checking $u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}$ becomes

$$0 = \sum_{p=m}^{n-1} (-1)^{p(n-p)} \binom{n-2}{p-1} b_p a_{n-p+1}.$$

Substituting $q = n - p + 1$, we get

$$0 = \sum_{q=2}^{n-m+1} (-1)^{(n-q+1)(q-1)} \binom{n-2}{n-q} b_{n-q+1} a_q.$$

We have $a_1 = 0$. Now do induction... Suppose $a_q = 0 \forall q < k$ where $k \geq 2$. Then

$$0 = \sum_{q=k}^{n-m+1} (-1)^{(n-q+1)(q-1)} \binom{n-2}{n-q} b_{n-q+1} a_q.$$

In the particular case when $n = m + k - 1$, this becomes

$$0 = \sum_{q=k}^k (-1)^{(m+k-q)(q-1)} \binom{m+k-3}{m+k-1-q} b_{m+k-q} a_q$$

$$= (-1)^{(m)(k-1)} \binom{m+k-3}{m-1} b_m a_k.$$

Note that since $k \geq 2$, $m + k - 3 \geq m - 1$. Also $m - 1 \geq 0$ since $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\binom{m+k-3}{m+k-1-q} \neq 0$. Since $b_m \neq 0$ by assumption, $a_k = 0$. Thus by induction, $a_n = 0 \forall n$.

A very similar induction argument using the constraint from $u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w^{\otimes n-2}$ proves that $c_n = 0 \forall n$. $\square$

Corollary 4.9. *Given the skew linear operators defined in (2), every L_∞ structure on $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ has one of the following forms:*

- $b_n = 0 \forall n$ but the constants a_n, c_n are arbitrary
- $b_m \neq 0$ for some m but all constants a_n, c_n are zero

The following result shows that every L_3 structure on $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ is a differential graded Lie algebra.

Theorem 4.10. *Suppose $V = V_{-1} \oplus V_0 \oplus V_1$ is an L_3 structure with the skew linear operators defined in (2). Then this structure is a differential graded Lie algebra.*

Proof. Recall that a d.g. Lie algebra is an L_2 structure in which $l_2 \circ l_2 \equiv 0$. In this space, $l_2 \circ l_2 = 0 \iff l_2 \circ l_2(u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w) = l_2 \circ l_2(u \otimes v \otimes w) = l_2 \circ l_2(u \otimes w^{\otimes 2}) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} l_2 \circ l_2(u^{\otimes 2} \otimes w) &= l_2(l_2(u \otimes u) \otimes w) - (-1)^1 l_2(l_2(u \otimes w) \otimes u) + (-1)^0 l_2(l_2(u \otimes w) \otimes u) \\ &= l_2(0) + l_2(b_2 v \otimes u) + l_2(b_2 v \otimes u) = 0 - 2l_2(b_2 u \otimes v) = -2b_2 a_2 u. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_2 \circ l_2(u \otimes v \otimes w) &= l_2(l_2(u \otimes v) \otimes w) - (-1)^0 l_2(l_2(u \otimes w) \otimes v) + (-1)^1 l_2(l_2(v \otimes w) \otimes u) \\ &= l_2(a_2 u \otimes w) - l_2(b_2 v \otimes v) - l_2(c_2 w \otimes u) = a_2 b_2 v - 0 + c_2 b_2 v. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_2 \circ l_2(u \otimes w^{\otimes 2}) &= l_2(l_2(u \otimes w) \otimes w) - (-1)^1 l_2(l_2(u \otimes w) \otimes w) + (-1)^0 l_2(l_2(w \otimes w) \otimes u) \\ &= 2l_2(b_2 v \otimes w) + 0 = 2b_2 c_2 w. \end{aligned}$$

Thus V is a d.g. Lie algebra if and only if $b_2 a_2 = 0$ and $b_2 c_2 = 0$. If $b_2 = 0$, then clearly V is d.g. Lie.

If $b_2 \neq 0$, then $\exists k$ such that $k \leq 2$ and $b_k \neq 0$ and $b_p = 0 \forall p < k$. Because of this and the fact that V is L_3 , Theorem (4.7) requires that $a_q = c_q = 0 \forall q \leq 3 - k + 1$. Since $k \leq 2$, this forces $a_2 = c_2 = 0$, which again makes V d.g. Lie. $\square$

5. OTHER $V = V_m \oplus V_{m+1} \oplus V_{m+2}$ WHERE EACH IS 1-DIMENSIONAL

Most of these other cases are rather trivial:

- When $m = -2$ or $m = -3$, we have a degenerate case in which the only possible nonzero operators are l_1 and l_2 . Therefore, any L_2 structure on $V = V_m \oplus V_{m+1} \oplus V_{m+2}$ is automatically L_∞ .
- When $m \leq -4$ or $m \geq 2$, this forces $l_n = 0 \forall n > 1$, which gives a trivial L_∞ structure to any such differential graded vector space.

The results for $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ (the only remaining interesting case) will be briefly summarized below. The proofs are all very similar to those in the preceding sections. Consider $V = \oplus V_i$ where

$$V_1 = \langle w \rangle \quad V_2 = \langle x \rangle \quad V_3 = \langle y \rangle \quad V_k = 0, \quad k \notin \{1, 2, 3\}$$

Define skew linear operators $l_n : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$ of degree $2 - n$ by

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} l_n(w^{\otimes n}) = a_n x & \forall n \geq 1 \\ l_n(w^{\otimes n-1} \otimes x) = b_n y & \forall n \geq 1 \\ l_n(w^{\otimes n-i-j} \otimes x^{\otimes i} \otimes y^{\otimes j}) = 0 & \forall i > 1, j > 0 \end{cases}$$

where a_n, b_n are constants.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose that $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ has skew operators defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} l_n(w^{\otimes n}) = a_n x \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\ l_n(w^{\otimes n-1} \otimes x) = b_n y \quad \forall n \geq 1 \\ l_n(w^{\otimes n-i-j} \otimes x^{\otimes i} \otimes y^{\otimes j}) = 0 \quad \forall i > 1, j > 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

V is L_n if and only if

- $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p < n$ or
- $\exists k$ such that $1 \leq k < n$ & $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p < k$ & $b_k \neq 0$ &

$$a_m = \frac{-\sum_{q=k+1}^{m+k-2} (-1)^{(m+k-1)(q-k)} \binom{m+k-1}{q-1} a_m b_q}{\binom{m-k+1}{k-1} b_k} \quad \forall 1 < m \leq n - k + 1.$$

Corollary 5.2. $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ with the skew operators defined in (3) is L_∞ if and only if

- $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$ or
- $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p < k$ & $b_k \neq 0$ &

$$a_m = \frac{-\sum_{q=k+1}^{m+k-2} (-1)^{(m+k-1)(q-k)} \binom{m+k-1}{q-1} a_m b_q}{\binom{m-k+1}{k-1} b_k} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark 5.3. Thus $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ can have just two types of L_∞ structure:

- $b_p = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$ but all constants a_n are arbitrarily chosen
- If some b_p is nonzero, the remaining constants b_n can be arbitrarily chosen, but all constants a_n are then uniquely determined by those choices.

Furthermore, every L_3 structure on $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ is a d.g. Lie algebra:

Theorem 5.4. Suppose that $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ with the operators defined in (3) is an L_3 structure. Then V is a differential graded Lie algebra.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Fulp, T. Lada, and J. Stasheff, *Sh-Lie algebras Induced by Gauge Transformations* to appear on Comm in Math Phys.
- [2] T. Lada and M. Markl, *Strongly homotopy Lie algebras*, Comm. in Algebra (1995), 2147–2161.
- [3] T. Lada and J. Stasheff, *Introduction to sh-Lie algebras for physicists*, Intern'l J. Theor. Phys. **32** (1993), 1087–1103.
- [4] B. Zwiebach, *Closed string field theory: Quantum action and the Batalin-Vilkovisky master equation*, Nucl.Phys.B **390** (1993), 33–152.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH NC 27695
E-mail address: medaily@eos.ncsu.edu